

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/712,447 | ANANTHARAMIAH ET AL. | |

All Participants:

(1) Daniel Kolker (USPTO). (3) ____.
 (2) Scott Marty (attorney for applicant). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2009

Time: 10:00AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to change a word in claim 1. Changes detailed in attached office action.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Daniel E. Kolker/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1649
 February 17, 2009

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)